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ABSTRACT: Amphibians of 24 species were surveyed for acanthocephalans in 4 nature reserves in 2 Chinese provinces during 2004–2006. *Pseudoacanthocephalus bafonis* (Echinorhynchida) occurred in both nature reserves in Guangxi Province. In the Jing Xi County Provincial Nature Reserve, *P. bafonis* infected 36 of 62 amphibians at a mean intensity of 9.9. Less than 250 km away, at Shiwandashan National Nature Reserve, 5 of 20 amphibians were infected at a mean intensity of 6.2. *Pseudoacanthocephalus bafonis* was not found in either of the reserves in Guizhou Province. In the Kuan Kuoshui Nature Reserve, 9 of 28 amphibians were parasitized at a mean intensity of 2.0 by a previously undescribed species of *Pseudoacanthocephalus*, and no acanthocephalan occurred in a small sample of 2 toads at Dashale Nature Reserve. The new species, *Pseudoacanthocephalus reeset* n. sp., differs from all others in the genus by typically having 14 longitudinal rows of 4 hooks, each of which is much longer than corresponding hooks of any other species of the genus. The length of proboscis hooks increases from the apex to the base of the proboscis, further distinguishing the new species from all but *Pseudoacanthocephalus nguyenthileae*.

Human disturbance threatens natural habitats throughout the world. Nowhere is this fact more evident than in China. Human activities have impacted natural areas in China for thousands of years, yet the biodiversity in southern China is poorly known. The area is heavily populated, and a large proportion of the existing forest is secondary growth (MacKinnon, 1997; Robbins et al., 2006; Boyd et al., 2008). Recently the Chinese provincial governments established reserves to protect remaining fragments of tropical and subtropical evergreen forests. As part of a biotic survey of southern China, we surveyed parasites from terrestrial vertebrates at reserves in Guangxi and Guizhou provinces during the period 2004–2006 (Fig. 1). Here we report the occurrence of acanthocephalans found in these amphibians. Specimens of the 2 species present in the Chinese collection permit an updated description of a long known species and add a previously undescribed species to the known biota of China.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling sites

**Jing Xi County Nature Reserve:** This reserve, located in Guangxi Province (23.12 N, 105.96 E, elevation 900 to 1,400 m) was sampled during 2004. The fieldwork was based along a rapid stream that ran through secondary forest at 950 m. There was evidence of old banana plantations; however, the rugged terrain seemed to protect some of the forest from human disturbance. Ridge top forests were less disturbed, with many large trees (c. 20–30 m high) and a few trees reaching c. 50 m high.

**Shiwandashan National Nature Reserve:** This reserve, located in Guangxi Province (21.84 N, 107.88 E, elevation 300–900 m) was sampled during 2005. The fieldwork was based along a winding paved road that ran through the steep mountains of the park. The forest was secondary, with the tallest trees c. 25 m tall. The slow stream near camp ran rapidly with heavy rains.

**Kuan Kuoshui Nature Reserve:** This reserve, located in Guizhou Province (28.23 N, 107.16 E, elevation 1,450–1,750 m) was sampled during 2006. The fieldwork was based at 1,450 m elevation in deciduous and evergreen forest, with several trees c. 20–25 m tall. The valley and the base of the surrounding hills were largely cultivated. Mountain streams flowed into a small lake in the valley.

**Dashale Nature Reserve:** This reserve, located in Guizhou Province (29.17 N, 107.57 E, elevation 1,350–1,650 m) was sampled during 2006.

RESULTS

Survey

During the 3-yr survey, 112 amphibians of 24 species were collected in nature reserves in Guangxi Province and Guizhou.
Province, China. Acanthocephalans occurred in 50 (45%) of the amphibians at a mean intensity of 7.8. Acanthocephalans were most common and intense at the Jing Xi County Provincial Nature Reserve, where they all were *P. bufonis* (Table I). Prevalence and intensity were less at the Shiwandashan National Nature Reserve where, likewise, *P. bufonis* was the only species collected (Table I). At Kuan Kuoshui Nature Reserve, the prevalence of acanthocephalans was intermediate, but the intensity was low. The only acanthocephalan species collected at this location was the new species we describe here (Table I). At Dashaxe Nature Reserve, only 2 specimens were examined; both were *Bufo gargarizans*, and neither individual harbored acanthocephalans.

**REDESCRIPTION**

*Pseudoacanthocephalus bufonis* (Shipley, 1903) Petrochenko, 1956 (Figs. 2–3)

General: Echinorhynchidae with thick-walled trunk of about uniform diameter in males, wider anteriorly and posteriorly in females. Females much larger than males, 15.3–28.0 (20.9) mm long by 1.1–2.3 (1.6) mm wide anteriorly. Males 5.7–11.8 (8.8) mm long by 1.0–2.2 (1.3) mm wide. Proboscis size and armature about the same in both sexes; 408–542 (485) long by 283–360 (324) wide; armed with 16–18 (usually 16) longitudinal rows of 5–6 (usually 5 alternating with 6) hooks each. Hooks range between 71 and 104 (85) long among specimens, but about same length from anterior to posterior on proboscis of a given specimen; mean lengths (anterior to posterior): 79, 86, 91, 89, 84, 77. Neck about 240 long by 415 wide at junction with trunk. Short, thick lenticels frequently occupied by proboscis: 0.80–1.42 (1.11) mm long. Double-walled proboscis receptacle with musculature of irregular contour, 685–950 (874) long by 270–346 (320) wide. Cerebral ganglion at base of proboscis receptacle; 168–251 (227) long by 72–96 (89) wide.

Male: Reproductive system reaches from posterior end to about middle of trunk. Two oblong testes neither consistently larger than other. 510–945 (719) long by 288–579 (432) wide. Six filiform cement glands, tightly packed and encircling junction of vasa efferentia and vas deferens, 768–1,507 (1,303) long; arranged in 2 groups of a long, intermediate, and short gland each. Ducts in each trirhutiate unite forming cement reservoir; reservoirs join at level of Saeetigian’s pouch.

Female: Reproductive system reaches anteriorly about 1.2 mm from decidedly subterminal genital pore. Uterine bell, inclusive of selector apparatus, 451–678 (567) long by 158–240 (191) wide; uterus 293–384 (352) long; vagina 240–360 (322) long. Eggs fusiform with 4 concentric membranes lacking polar prolongations; 55–72 (59) long by 19–24 (21) wide when measured through body wall; 65–72 (70) long by 19–24 (22) wide when dissected from body.

**Taxonomic summary**

**Hosts and localities:** See Table I.

**Site of infection:** Small intestine.

**Specimens deposited:** HWML Nos. 49139, 49140, 49141.

**Remarks**

*Pseudoacanthocephalus bufonis* was described originally by Shipley (1903) from specimens collected in toads from Thailand. Our specimens agree fully with his description except that proboscis hooks were said to be in “6–8 alternating rings.” This would be equivalent to 3–4 hooks in each longitudinal row instead of the 5–6 present in our specimens from China. It is impossible to tell from his illustrations whether the proboscides of Shipley’s specimens were evaginated fully, and type specimens are unavailable (Kennedy, 1982) for study. Joyceux and Baer (1935) reported 5–6 hooks in each longitudinal row for this species collected from the type host (*Bufo melanostictus* Schneider, 1799) at Tonkin, Vietnam. Our specimens agree fully with their description and illustrations, and there is no appreciable difference from the redescription of the species by Kennedy (1982).

There is discrepancy in the literature regarding the date *Echinorhynchus bufonis* Shipley, 1903, first was assigned to *Pseudoacanthocephalus*. Among principal compilations of acanthocephalan species, Yamaguti (1963) and Golvan (1994) listed the transfer as 1958, while referencing the genus *Pseudoacanthocephalus* Petrochenko, 1956. At the first use of the generic name, *Pseudoacanthocephalus*, Petrochenko (1956) did not define it as a new genus. However, later in the same volume (Petrochenko, 1956), he gave characters for *Pseudoacanthocephalus*, designated it a new genus, and specifically transferred 4 species to it, including *Acanthocephalus bufonis* (Shipley, 1903) Southwell and Macfie, 1925.

The nomenclature is further complicated because Kennedy (1982) argued that specimens identified by Petrochenko (1953) as *P. bufonis* from toads in Turkmenistan and Uzbekistan are not conspecific with the species described by Shipley (1903). Kennedy (1982) proposed the new name *Acanthocephalus breviprostatus* for the Soviet specimens. As Petrochenko (1956) was referencing these specimens when he assigned *P. bufonis* as the type species, *Pseudoacanthocephalus breviprostatus* Kennedy, 1982, should replace *P. bufonis* as the type species of *Pseudoacanthocephalus* for systematists who concur that *P. bufonis* and *P. breviprostatus* constitute distinct species. Because Petrochenko’s transfer of Shipley’s *E. bufonis* was an explicit nomenclatural act, even if fortuitous, Amin (1985) properly listed the name as *Pseudoacanthocephalus bufonis* (Shipley, 1903) Petrochenko, 1956, regardless of its status as type species of the genus.

**DESCRIPTION**

*Pseudoacanthocephalus reesei* n. sp. (Figs. 4–9)

General: Echinorhynchidae with thick walled trunk of about uniform diameter in males, wider anteriorly and posteriorly in females. Females
Table I. Occurrence of acanthocephalans among amphibians sampled in 4 nature reserves in China from 2004 to 2006.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amphibian species</th>
<th>No. infected/collection (%)</th>
<th>Intensity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Jing Xi County Provincial Nature Reserve, 2004** *(Pseudoacanthocephalus bufonis)*
- *Amolops* sp. *Cope,* 1865
  - 1/2 (50) 1.0
- *Bufo* gargarizans *Cantor,* 1842
  - 0/1 —
- *Fejervarya linomocharis* (Gravenhorst, 1829)
  - 1/6 (17) 3.0
- *Hoplobatrachus rugulosus* (Wiegmann, 1834)
  - 0/1 —
- *Limnonectes kuhlii* (Tschudi, 1838)
  - 1/6 (17) 20.0
- *Odorrana versabilis* (Liu and Hu, 1962)
  - 0/6 —
- *Ophryophryne microstoma* Bouleneger, 1903
  - 0/2 —
- *Philaenus odontotarsus* Ye and Fei in Ye et al., 1993
  - 1/1 (100) 1.0
- *Polyypedates megacephalus* Hollowell, 1861
  - 16/16 (100) 9.0
- *Polyypedates mutus* (Smith, 1940)
  - 13/14 (93) 11.5
- *Rana livida* Bouleneger, 1887
  - 1/1 (100) 2.0
- *Xenophrys minor* Stejneger, 1926
  - 2/5 (40) 3.0

**Subtotal**
- 36/62 (58)

**Shiwandashan National Nature Reserve, 2005** *(Pseudoacanthocephalus bufonis)*
- *Amolops ricketti* (Bouleneger, 1899)
  - 0/2 —
- *Bufo melanostictus* Schneider, 1799
  - 0/1 —
- *Leptobrachium hainanensis* Ye and Fei in Ye et al., 1993
  - 0/2 —
- *Limnonectes kuhlii*
  - 0/1 —
- *Megophrys lateralis* Liu, 1950
  - 0/1 —
- *Odorrana chloronota*
  - 0/1 —
- *Odorrana tianmienensis* (Yang and Lie, 1980)
  - 0/5 —
- *Odorrana versabilis*
  - 2/2 (100) 6.0
- *Polyypedates mutus*
  - 3/4 (75) 6.3
- *Rana dunnys* Blanford, 1881
  - 0/1 —
- *Xenophrys minor*
  - 0/2 —

**Subtotal**
- 5/20 (25)

**Kuan Kuoshui Nature Reserve, 2006** *(Pseudoacanthocephalus reesei)*
- *Bufo gargarizans* Liem, 1970
  - 4/7 (57) 1.5
- *Polyypedates oneimontis* Liem, 1970
  - 1/3 (33) 1.0
- *Rana boulengeri* Günther, 1889
  - 3/5 (60) 2.7
- *Rana linnocharis* Wiegmann, 1834
  - 1/3 (33) 3.0
- *Rana margaretiae* Liu, 1950
  - 0/7 —
- *Rana wuchuanensis* Xu in Wu et al., 1983
  - 0/1 —

**Subtotal**
- 9/28 (32)

**Dashabe Nature Reserve, 2006** *(Pseudoacanthocephalus sp. not collected)*
- *Bufo gargarizans*
  - 0/2 —

**Subtotal**
- 0/2 (0)

**Total of all reserves**
- 50/112 (45)

11.8–14.3 (13.7) mm long by 0.8–1.2 (1.0) mm wide anteriorly; males 6.0–10.3 (8.7) mm long by 0.9–1.3 (1.1) mm wide. Proboscis size and pattern of hooks about the same in both sexes; 432–490 (461) long by 346–389 (360) wide; armed with 12–15 (usually 14) longitudinal rows of 4–5 (usually 4) hooks each. Hooks increase in size from anterior to posterior; much longer in females (92–139) than in males (72–120). Mean lengths of proboscis hooks (anterior to posterior): 91, 106, 115, 131 in females; 78, 91, 99, 108, 109 in males. Neck 250–396 (380) long by 470–618 (546) wide at junction with trunk. Short, thick lemnisci frequently obscured by proboscis receptacle and presomal musculature; 0.98–1.27 (1.14) mm long. Double walled proboscis receptacle with musculature of irregular contour, 720–1096 (910) long by 250–336 (308) wide. Cerebral ganglion at base of proboscis receptacle; 192–254 (246) long by 58–67 (62) wide.

**Male:** Reproductive system reaches from posterior end to about midlevel of trunk. Two oblong testes neither consistently larger than other, 576–691 (617) long by 288–470 (436) wide. Six filament cement glands, tightly packed and encircling junction of vasa efferentia and vasa deferens, 902–1,315 (1,162) long; arranged in 3 groups of a long, intermediate, and short gland each. Ducts in each triumvirate unite forming cement reservoir; reservoirs join at level of Saefftigen’s pouch.

**Female:** Reproductive system reaches anteriorly about 1.7 mm from decidedly subterminal genital pore. Uterine bell, inclusive of selector apparatus, 557–781 (669) long by 139–168 (154) wide; uterus 528–634 (553) long; vagina 384–451 (418) long. Eggs fusiform with 4 concentric membranes lacking polar prolongations; 84–96 (92) long by 19–24 (22) wide when measured through body wall.

**Taxonomic summary**
- **Type host:** *Rana boulengeri* Günther, 1889.
- **Other hosts:** See Table I.
- **Type locality:** Kuan Kuoshui Nature Reserve, Guizhou Province, China.
- **Site of infection:** Small intestine.
- **Specimens deposited:** Holotype male, USNPC No. 102010; allotype, USNPC No. 102011; paratypes USNPC No. 102012, 102013, HWML No. 49137, 49138. Holotype ex: *Rana boulengeri* MCB-503; allotype ex: *Polyypedates oneimontis* MCB-542; paratypes ex: *R. boulengeri* MCB-499, MCB-533. Host specimens deposited at Kansas Museum of Natural History, University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas.
**Etymology:** The species is named in honor of Maxwell Reese, biology teacher at American Fork High School, Utah, in recognition of his inspiration and guidance to young scientists.

**Remarks**

The arrangement of proboscis hooks typically in 14 longitudinal rows of 4 hooks each distinguishes *P. reesei* from all other species of the genus except *Pseudoacanthocephalus bigueti* (Houin, Golvan, and Brygoo, 1965) Golvan, 1969, *Pseudoacanthocephalus perthensis* Edmonds, 1971, and *Pseudoacanthocephalus rhampholeontos* Smales, 2005. The hooks of *P. reesei*, however, are much longer than has been reported for these other 3 species and apparently for any other species of the genus. Additionally, *P. reesei* is distinguished because it and *Pseudoacanthocephalus nguyenthileae* Amin, Ha, and Heckmann, 2008, are the only species of the genus in which proboscis hooks increase progressively in length from anterior to posterior positions. Besides having fewer longitudinal rows of fewer, but longer, hooks than *P. nguyenthileae*, males of *P. reesei* possess the typical 6 cement glands rather than 8 as reported (Amin et al., 2008) for *P. nguyenthileae*.

Several species currently classified as members of *Acanthocephalus* Koelreuter, 1771, likely will be reassigned to *Pseudoacanthocephalus* when more is learned about them. Among these, only *Acanthocephalus*
Van Cleave, 1925, is similar to P. reesei. The 2 species are nearly alike except in the length of their eggs and proboscis hooks. Eggs of P. reesei are shorter than those of A. lucidas (84–96 vs. 94–106), and the hooks are longer. According to Van Cleave (1925), hooks of A. lucidas are “slightly” shorter (70) at the proboscis tip than those more posteriorly (88). The difference is much greater for P. reesei, with the mean length being 78 anteriorly and 109 posteriorly in males and 91 anteriorly and 131 posteriorly in females.

Harada (1935) and Yamaguti (1939) described specimens collected from several species of amphibians in Japan and identified them as A. lucidas. Their descriptions of the specimens differ conspicuously from the original description of the species by Van Cleave (1925), and, with respect to trunk size, proboscis armature, and egg size, they are almost identical to P. reesei. It is likely that Harada (1935) and Yamaguti (1939) did not recognize their specimens as a new species and misidentified them as A. lucidas, which occurs in similar amphibians in the region. Future study is likely to show that the Harada and Yamaguti specimens are, in fact, conspecific with P. reesei rather than with A. lucidas.

DISCUSSION

Petrochenko (1956) distinguished his new genus Pseudoacanthocephalus from Acanthocephalus by its possession of holoechinate acanthors, terrestrial hosts, and absence of polar elongations in acanthor membranes. Golvan (1969) added possession of a subterminal genital pore in females as a feature by which the 2 genera differ. Although Golvan (1969) stated that the 2 genera could be differentiated clearly, Schmidt (1971) questioned the validity of these generic characters, and the issue was readressed by Smales (2005). Golvan (1994) continued to recognize Pseudoacanthocephalus as did Amin et al. (2008), who gave rationale for its validity. In addition to the characters defined by Petrochenko (1956) and Golvan (1969), and the more elongated tightly clustered cement glands (compacted so tightly as to be uncountable in dissection or seclusion) noted by Amin et al. (2008), the 2 species collected in China appear to differ from Acanthocephalus by possession of a very thick body wall and in having the musculature of the outer wall of the proboscis receptacle arranged in irregular bands rather than the smooth sheath of Acanthocephalus. Species previously assigned (Golvan, 1994; Amin et al., 2008) to Pseudoacanthocephalus and P. reesei, described here, constitute a readily recognized group of distinctive species.

Pseudoacanthocephalus reesei is a long known parasite across southeastern Asia (Shipley, 1903; Joyeux and Baer, 1935; Schmidt, 1971; Uchida, 1975; Jain and Gupta, 1981; Kennedy, 1982; Khan and Ip, 1986; Vashetko and Siddikov, 1999; Bursey et al., 2005) to Hawaii, where it presumably was introduced (Barton and Pichelin, 1999). It is not surprising, therefore, that it was abundant in amphibians in our survey in China. The presence of this species in China, however, has not been well documented. Southwell and Macfie (1925) reported 4 specimens from the intestine of a single toad captured in Hong Kong without indicating the host species. Van Cleave (1937) described Acanthocephalus sinensis, now widely regarded as a synonym (Amin, 1985; Golvan, 1994) of P. reesei, based on specimens collected by E. C. Faust in central China during the period from 1920 to 1925. Otherwise there is little previous information published regarding this species in China.

Although amphibians of 6 species were collected at more than 1 field site, insight regarding habitat and host distribution is meager because most of the species were captured at only a single site.

Pseudoacanthocephalus reesei occurred only at the Kuan Kuoshui Reserve, which is at a considerably higher elevation than the reserves where P. bufonis occurred. The toads Bufo garialis Cantor, 1842, were collected at 3 reserves, but were infected only at Kuan Kuoshui; however, only 3 specimens of this species were obtained at reserves other than Kuan Kuoshui.

Gravid specimens of P. bufonis occurred only in Polyplectodes megacephalus Hallowen, 1861, and Polyplectodes mutus (Smith, 1940), but at the higher elevation of Kuan Kuoshui these amphibian species were not collected. Gravid specimens of P. reesei occurred in Polyplectodes omeimontis Liem, 1970, Rana boulengeri Gunther, 1889, and Rana limnocharis Wiegmann, 1834, but amphibians of these species were not collected at lower elevations. The single specimen of Rana (Rana lividia Boulenger, 1887) collected at lower elevations was parasitized by P. bufonis. Our survey data imply that in southern China, P. bufonis occurs at lower elevations where the principal hosts are P. megacephalus and P. mutus. At higher elevations, several amphibian species, primarily R. boulengeri, are parasitized by P. reesei. Surveys of acanthocephalans at other high elevation sites are necessary to substantiate this assertion.
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