LICE FROM HUMAN MUMMIES

For a very long period of time there has been discussion as to whether or not each of the different primary races of mankind harbored a distinct race of lice, particularly head lice. Confusion has followed controversy in this matter until to-day we find that there is no semblance of accord in regard to the problem. If one studies a large series of lice from living Americans it is observed that apparently there is no correlation between louse types represented and the racial types of the host individuals. It was while studying such a series that the writer became convinced that he was dealing largely with hybrids of different racial types or varieties of lice. This conviction deepened greatly when it was learned from the results of Bacot's work during the world war that the head louse of man would hybridize with the body louse and give fertile progeny.

Knowing that these two louse varieties hybridize and that there has long been an intermingling of three of the primary races of men in this continent, the writer conceived the idea of going back to prehistoric man, before the mixing of these races took place, and examining scalps of mummies in order to get samples of unhybridized lice.

Scalps of precolombian Peruvian mummies in the Department of Anthropology of the United States National Museum were first examined. Six of these were obtained and five of them were found to be well laden with nits; unfortunately, however, no adult lice were secured. Nits also were found on an Egyptian mummy, but of the fourth century A. D. Later, through the courtesy of Dr. Frank E. Lutz, of the American Museum of Natural History, a loan was
secured of scalps or hair samples from no less than twenty prehistoric American Indian mummies from that institution. All these were examined. Ten were found to possess nits; and of these ten, three also had lice, one being laden with dead lice of all stages of development.

It is not the intention of the writer of this communication to discuss here the taxonomy of these mummied lice, but he would like to record the fact that those from Peruvian mummies are slightly different from those of mummies taken in southwestern United States, and also that all the lice from prehistoric mummies show differences from some lice obtained from a living Indian. It is of course probable that our living Indians in some instances not only have the Caucasian head louse but also the Ethiopian type and possibly hybrids between these two or between the American type or types and either the Caucasian or Ethiopian type.

The American mummy type of head louse is quite distinct from what Fahrenholz describes as Pediculus humanus marginatus, a Japanese variety. It is much nearer what he describes as Pediculus humanus chinensis, the Chinese head louse. It should be stated, however, that the writer has never seen either the Japanese or Chinese type of head louse and also that he is inclined to doubt the advisability of recognizing more than one variety of louse for the yellow race of mankind.

A comparison of these mummy lice with Pediculus lice from American monkeys of the genus Ateles has been made. It should here be recalled that by many authorities the Pediculid lice of our American monkeys are considered as being only the common head louse of man. In the writer's investigation it was found that these lice from mummies were quite dis-
tinct from the monkey-infesting forms. In fact, the writer has discovered that all these monkey Pediculids of America can be distinguished from any of the varieties of our head louse by the characters of the pleural plates. If the pleural plates of the man-infesting forms are viewed from the side they are found to be squarish and without lateral lobes. Now if the pleural plates of any of the *Ateles*-infesting forms are viewed from the side they are found variously shaped but not squarish, and in addition some of them are provided with well-developed lateral lobes.

It may be that the spider monkeys (*Ateles*) acquired their Pediculids originally from man but not from recent man. The time must have been thousands of years ago, more probably tens of thousands of years ago, for there are at least two or three quite distinct species of lice on *Ateles*.

Kellogg (Science, Vol. xxxviii, p. 601) attaches much phylogenetic significance to the occurrence of *Pediculus* species on *Ateles*, holding that it indicates that these monkey hosts represent American derivatives of the Old World anthropoids. It is possible in this case, however, that there has been a crossing-over, i.e., that the *Ateles* lice have been derived from some of the near ancestral types of recent man or that the whole human complex of lice is of a more recent derivation and from certain unrelated monkey hosts. That lice may cross over from hosts of one phylogenetic group to those of a different phylogenetic group, "bridging the phylogenetic gap," the writer has pointed out in the case of the biting lice of the family Gyropidae. The lice of this family apparently have crossed over from their ancient and most favored hosts, certain rodents, to their more recent and less favored hosts, ungulates and primates.
It may be, however, that in Pediculus we are dealing with one of those generalized types that refuses to respond in the usual manner to the diversifications of the environments into which the group has thrust itself. In other words, it has become to an unusual degree more or less independent of the minor charges of environmental conditions. In this connection I would like to record here the infestation of two brown-hipped marmosets (Lenotocebus nigricollis) with a Pediculus species. There is strong evidence in these two cases, however, that the lice were stragglers from the badly infested Ateles species, yet many eggs were laid on both marmoset hosts by the lice. These infested marmosets were brought back from South America for the National Zoological Park by W. M. Mann in 1922.
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